ORAL PRESENTATION



Implementing adolescent SBIRT in an urban federally qualified health center: generalist vs. specialist service delivery models

Shannon Gwin Mitchell^{1*}, Arethusa S Kirk², Marla Oros³, Jan Gryczynski⁴, Kristi Dusek⁵, Colleen Hosler⁶, Robert P Schwartz⁷, Barry S Brown⁸, Carolina Barbosa⁹, Laura J Dunlap¹⁰, David W Lounsbury¹¹, Kevin E O'Grady¹²

From INEBRIA 12th Congress, Atlanta, GA, USA. 24-25 September 2015

Background

Little is known about how best to implement SBIRT services in pediatric health care settings or who, optimally, should provide brief interventions when on-site behavioral health is available. The objective of this presentation is to present results from a cluster randomized trial examining implementation of adolescent SBIRT services for substance use within a US federally qualified healthcare system. Two different implementation models for conducting brief interventions (BIs) were compared using randomization at the clinic level to either: the Generalist Model (BI provided by primary care provider) or the Specialist Model (BI provided by behavioral health specialist).

Material and methods

Multilevel logistic regression modeling was used to examine differences by Condition in rates of successful delivery and documentation of the following services: (a) screening (of all adolescent patients ages 12-17), (b) brief advice (for patients reporting alcohol or drug use but scoring \geq 2 on the CRAFFT), and (c) brief intervention (patients scoring <2 on CRAFFT, delivered using either the Specialist or Generalist models). Due to the organization transitioning to a new electronic medical record (EMR) in month 6 of the study, data on BA and BI are currently limited to extractions from the new EMR.

Results

Multilevel logistic regression analyses taking into account the cluster-randomized design showed no significant differences between Generalist and Specialist

* Correspondence: smitchell@friendsresearch.org

¹Friends Research Institute, Baltimore, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Conclusions

Both service delivery models showed promise for delivering BIs but the high rates of variability within sites demonstrate a need for further examination.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ms. Faye Royale-Larkins and the staff of Total Health Care for their collaboration on this implementation project. We also thank Drs. Tisha Wiley and Lori Ducharme for their continued guidance. The study was supported through National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) Grant1R01DA034258-01 (PI Mitchell).

Authors' details

¹Friends Research Institute, Baltimore, USA. ²Total Health Care, Baltimore, USA. ³Mosaic Group, Baltimore, USA. ⁴Friends Research Institute, Baltimore, USA. ⁵Friends Research Institute, Baltimore, USA. ⁶Mosaic Group, Baltimore, USA. ⁷Friends Research Institute, Baltimore, USA. ⁸University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, USA. ⁹RTI International, Chicago, USA. ¹⁰RTI International, Research Triangle Park, USA. ¹¹Yeshiva University, Bronx, USA. ¹²University of Maryland, College Park, USA.

Published: 24 September 2015

doi:10.1186/1940-0640-10-S2-O24 Cite this article as: Mitchell *et al.*: Implementing adolescent SBIRT in an

urban federally qualified health center: generalist vs. specialist service delivery models. Addiction Science & Clinical Practice 2015 10(Suppl 2):O24.



© 2015 Mitchell et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/ zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.